

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

ANGELA NAILS,

Plaintiff,

No. 3:15-cv-1033-JE

v.

CHARLES BAILEY,

ORDER

Defendant.

HERNÁNDEZ, District Judge:

Magistrate Judge Jelderks issued a Findings and Recommendation [9] on August 18, 2015, in which he recommends that this Court grant Plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma pauperis* [1], deny Plaintiff's motion for *pro bono* counsel [4], and dismiss Plaintiff's complaint [2]. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 72(b).

Because no objections to the Magistrate Judge's Findings and Recommendation were timely filed, I am relieved of my obligation to review the record *de novo*. United States v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc); see also United States v. Bernhardt,


840 F.2d 1441, 1444 (9th Cir. 1988) (*de novo* review required only for portions of Magistrate Judge's report to which objections have been made). Having reviewed the legal principles *de novo*, I find no error.

CONCLUSION

The Court ADOPTS Magistrate Judge Jelderk's Findings & Recommendation [9], and therefore, Plaintiff's application to proceed *in forma pauperis* [1] is granted, Plaintiff's motion for *pro bono* counsel [4] is denied, and Plaintiff's complaint [2] is dismissed.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 17 day of September, 2015.



MARCO A. HERNÁNDEZ
United States District Judge